



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.												
10/823,446	04/13/2004	David L. Patton	87472F-P	4851												
7590 Pamela R. Crocker Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201		01/11/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">HRUSKOCI, PETER A</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>1724</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/11/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		HRUSKOCI, PETER A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1724		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/11/2007	PAPER
EXAMINER																
HRUSKOCI, PETER A																
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER															
1724																
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE															
01/11/2007	PAPER															

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

✓

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,446	PATTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Frank Pincelli.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the claims were suggested for the sake of clarity and accuracy. Double patenting issues with 11/449,425 were also discussed. Mr. Pincelli agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment and terminal disclaimer for formal consideration

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)